Proceedings of ICFTBA 2025 Symposium: Financial Framework's Role in Economics and Management of Human-Centered Development
DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.GL29179

The Commodification of Education and Its Impact on Social Mobility: An Analysis of the UK's VAT Policy on Private School Fees

Shengyi Yu

Harrow School Bangkok, Bangkok, Thailand
Shengyi_yu@hotmail.com

Abstract. This paper examines the implications of the UK government's introduction of a 20% Value Added Tax (VAT) on private school fees. The analysis is framed within the theoretical contexts of the commodification of education, Bourdieu's theory of social reproduction, and the concept of social mobility. The paper argues that while the policy is ostensibly aimed at reducing educational disparities, it is likely to exacerbate social inequalities. The increased cost of private education will likely make it inaccessible for many middle-income families, reinforcing the position of private schools as enclaves for the elite. This, in turn, will hinder social mobility and perpetuate the cycle of social reproduction, as access to the cultural and social capital offered by these institutions becomes even more restricted. The paper concludes that the VAT policy, without other mitigating measures, may unintentionally deepen the very social divides it seeks to address.

Keywords: Commodification of Education, VAT Policy, Private Schools, Social Reproduction, Social Mobility

1. Introduction

The term commodification comes from the concept of "commodity fetishism" proposed by Marx. Commodity fetishism refers to economic forms of capital concealed underlying social relations [1]. This concept discusses the relational form of objects and their social relations. Commodification "fantastic form of the relation between things that changes human properties, relationship and actions, into things that are not dependant on persons and govern people's lives" [1], meaning commodification assigns economic value to things that do not have commercial characteristics, such as the relationship between people and education.

Connell argues the impact of the commodification of education can be observed at every level in education [2]. The education system in the UK has treated education as a commodity, since it is market-based to measure economic benefits, education is exchanged for other goods through the sale of education, which dominates people's lives and leads to the view of knowledge as a commodity. Education today is foremost a consumer product existing and competing in a global neo - liberal market shaped by a service - sector economy.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.GL29179

Under the corrosion of neoliberalism, education's characteristic has gradually shifted from publication to commodification. In Britain, this trend can be reflected in the recent implementation of a 20% value-added tax (VAT) on tuition fees for private-run schools. Once this policy was published, it sparked an intensely debate about its potential impact on the education pattern and social classification. This article will investigate the impulse of this VAT policy from multiple perspectives, utilizing education commodification, Bourdieu's social reproduction theory, and the broader impact on social mobility to test if this policy is effective. The core thesis is that although levying value-added tax on pay school tuition fees is seen as a solution for inequality in education, it still has high probability to consolidate the existing social rating system and restrict social mobility by making academy the special rights of economic elites.

2. Literature review

This article takes the commodification of education as the theoretical foundation, which can be traced back to Marx's theory of "commodity fetishism"[1]. According to Marx's thinking, the relationships between things in capitalist society often obscure and replace social relationships, giving them a "wonderful form" that endows economic value to non-commercial areas of human life such as education [1]. In the context of education, this means that knowledge and learning are converted into commodities that can be circulated and traded in the market. Connell [2] and Baltodano [3] observed that the education system is increasingly seen as a commodity, especially in a neoliberal background like the UK, where its value standards are measured based on economic benefits rather than intrinsic value.

Pierre Bourdieu's sociological theory serves as a key entry point to interpret how the education system leads to the long-term existence of social inequality. Bourdieu [4] identified three fundamental forms of capital: economic (material wealth), social (networks and connections), and cultural (skills, tastes, and qualifications). These forms of capital are interrelated and transformed. For example, through private education, economic capital can bring cultural capital, thereby providing better career development opportunities, which in turn can be transformed into the accumulation of more economic capital. Following Bourdieu's concept of habituation, social environment has a deeply ingrained shaping effect on individual personality and thinking, further explaining how social classes replicate across generations. The mainstream class often maintains habits that are consistent with the culture of the education system, which gives their children inherent advantages.

3. The UK's VAT policy and its implications

The UK government's decision to levy a 20% VAT on private school fees represents a significant policy shift with far-reaching consequences [6]. This measure effectively increases the cost of private education, which can be analyzed from both an economic and sociological perspective. In the short run, demand is likely to be relatively price-inelastic for families already enrolled, producing a revenue shock that schools will try to absorb through a mix of fee uplifts, cost trimming, and rebalancing bursary budgets; over the medium term, however, enrolment elasticities are expected to rise as households re-optimise schooling choices, potentially accelerating exits at key transition points (Year 7, Year 9, Sixth Form) [7,8]. The incidence of the tax will be uneven: day schools with narrow operating margins and prep schools serving middle-income commuters are more exposed than highly selective boarding schools with deep endowments. Regional income heterogeneity further implies that schools outside London and the South East may face steeper

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.GL29179

attrition even with modest nominal increases. On the cost side, VAT arrives amid elevated payroll pressures and compliance costs, limiting scope for internal absorption and increasing the likelihood that capital expenditure and co-curricular provision are pared back. Sociologically, the policy heightens the boundary work between sectors: as fees rise, the private sector's value proposition will shift even more toward status, networks, and intensive pastoral and enrichment offerings—precisely the forms of social and cultural capital that are least replicable in the state sector [9,10]. Consequently, VAT risks entrenching exclusivity rather than broadening access, even if headline participation numbers remain superficially resilient in the first year.

3.1. Economic impacts and the substitute controversy

The immediate effect of the VAT is an increase in the price of private schooling. This has led to predictions of a significant exodus of students from the private to the public sector. A report by a school consultancy suggested that nearly 11% of students might withdraw in the first year, with a total of over 100,000 students potentially leaving over five years, resulting in a substantial loss of revenue for independent schools [7]. This has already begun to manifest, with some private schools announcing closures due to the increased financial pressure. For instance, Loughborough Amherst School cited the VAT policy as a key factor in its decision to close [8].

However, there is controversy over whether public schools can directly replace private schools. Private schools are often labeled as "luxury goods" and can provide cultural and social prestige beyond education [9]. They engage in so-called Veblen pricing, where high prices themselves represent exclusivity and advisability [10]. This is in obvious contrast to public schools, which are funded by the state and not driven by profit motives. Therefore, for many families who choose private education, this decision not only concerns teaching quality, but also whether they can obtain additional cultural and social capital, making public-run schools an imperfect replacement.

3.2. Impact on social reproduction

The value-added tax policy may have a profound impact on the process of social reproduction. The continuously rising private education expenditure has made it increasingly unaffordable for many middle-class families, further establishing the exclusive position of private schools among the wealthy elite. Therefore, the opportunities provided by these institutions to access important social and cultural capital will become even more limited. This will exacerbate existing inequalities in the education system, making it more difficult for individuals from disadvantaged backgrounds to climb the social ladder.

This policy may also have unforeseen consequences on the work motivation of families who cannot afford private school tuition fees. Due to tuition fees accounting for a significant portion of their income, this may greatly reduce their work motivation and also have a negative impact on productivity [8].

4. Social mobility in the context of private education

Social mobility is defined as the ability to move between social classes [11], which acted as the cornerstone of a fair and just society. For a long time, higher education has served as the main channel for upward social mobility, instead of background dependence, it provides individuals with valuable chances to be successful based on contribution [12]. However, private education often plays complex and contradictory roles in this process.

On the one hand, private academy often lead to elite universities and renowned careers, thereby pushing students to charge forward. On the other hand, high costs greatly stop those from disadvantaged backgrounds from entering pay schools. This exclusivity effect may continue to be amplified by the imposition of value-added tax on private school schooling.

The financial practices of private schools in the UK have been reviewed more than once. For example, the 2006 Charity Law requires private schools to prove that their actions are in the "public interest" in order to maintain their charitable status [13, 14]. This has led many schools to provide funding for scholarships and other public welfare projects in order to increase their means of income generation, and to open a large number of overseas branches. [15, 16]. Although this seems to create some opportunities for disadvantaged students, it also highlights to what extent private schools have become global enterprises catering to international elites [10].

The rising number of students enrolled from wealthy overseas families, especially from countries such as Russia and China, further push up tuition fees, making it harder for domestic students from low - and middle-income backgrounds to enter private schools [10,8]. The habits of parents with high social economic status can also have an impact on this trend, as they are more likely to encourage their children to study abroad [17]. As a result, this system not only failed to achieve its initial goal of promoting social mobility, but also seems to be continuously exacerbating existing inequalities on a global scale.

5. Conclusion

The imposition of a 20% VAT on private school fees in the United Kingdom, while framed as a progressive policy, is fraught with complexities that may ultimately undermine its stated objectives. This paper has argued that the policy is likely to exacerbate the very social inequalities it purports to address. By increasing the financial barrier to private education, the VAT will likely make these institutions even more exclusive, cementing their status as enclaves for the ultra-wealthy. This will, in turn, impede social mobility and reinforce the mechanisms of social reproduction, as access to the valuable cultural and social capital that private schools provide becomes increasingly restricted.

The analysis has demonstrated that public schools cannot be considered a straightforward substitute for private schools, given the latter's role in conferring social status and exclusivity. The commodification of education has transformed private schooling into a luxury good, where high fees are a feature, not a bug. The VAT policy will only intensify this dynamic.

Ultimately, unless a more comprehensive approach is taken to address educational odds, levying value-added tax on private school tuition fees will be a rigid tool that likely deepen social divides. It emphasizes the stand in need of a broader dialogue on the role of education in democratic societies and policies that can truly promote a more equitable and fluid social landscape. Future research should focus on the long-term influence of this policy on student population statistics in both private and public sectors, as well as how the educational attainment and career trajectories of students from different social economy context affects the VAT policy.

References

[1] Locke J. Two Treatises of Government. Macpherson CB, editor. Indianapolis: Hackett; 1980. (Orig. 1689).
[2] Chan WK. Higher education and graduate employment in China: Challenges for sustainable development. Higher Education Policy. 2015; 28(1): 35–53. doi: 10.1057/hep.2014.29.
[3] Chen C-F, He H-Y, Tong Y-X, Chen X-L. Analysis of public opinion on employment issues using a combined approach: A case study in China. Sci Rep. 2024; 14(1): 1944. doi: 10.1038/s41598-024-52158-5.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.GL29179

[4] Kalekin-Fishman D, Langman L. Alienation, history of. In: International Encyclopedia of the Social & Behavioral Sciences. 2nd ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier; 2015. p. 496–502. doi: 10.1016/B978-0-08-097086-8.03145-7.
[5] Gough JW. John Locke's Political Philosophy: Eight Studies. Oxford: Clarendon Press; 1950.
[6] International Monetary Fund. Venezuela: Selected Issues. IMF Country Report No. 20/123. Washington (DC): IMF; 2020. Available from: https: //www.imf.org/en/Publications/CR/Issues/2020/03/06/Venezuela-Selected-Issues-49046
[7] Nietzsche F. Thus Spoke Zarathustra. In: Kaufmann W, editor. The Portable Nietzsche. New York: Viking Press; 1985. p. 103–439.
[1] Marx K. Capital: A Critique of Political Economy. Vol. 1. Moore S, Aveling E, trans-eds. London: Swan Sonnenschein, Lowrey, & Co; 1887.
[2] Connell R. The neoliberal cascade and education: An essay on the market agenda and its consequences. Critical Studies in Education. 2013; 54(2): 99-112.
[3] Baltodano MP. Neoliberalism and the demise of public education: The case of the charter school movement. Educational Studies. 2012; 48(2): 136-51.
[4] Bourdieu P. The forms of capital. In: Halsey AH, Lauder H, Brown P, Wells AS, editors. Education: Culture, economy, and society. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1997. p. 46-58.
[5] Bourdieu P. Habitus. In: Hillier J, Rooksby E, editors. Habitus: A sense of place. Aldershot: Ashgate; 2002. p. 27-34.
[6] Government Digital Service. How VAT works. GOV.UK. 2022 May 16 [cited 2025 Oct 13]. Available from: https: //www.gov.uk/how-vat-works
[7] Grant Thornton UK. VAT on independent school fees: How can the sector adapt? [Internet]. [cited 2025 Oct 13]. Available from: https: //www.grantthornton.co.uk/insights/vat-on-independent-school-fees-how-can-the-sector-adapt/
[8] Sibieta L. The long-run effects of VAT on private school fees and enrolment. London: Institute for Fiscal Studies; 2023.
[9] EBSCO. Luxury Goods. In: Salem Press Encyclopedia. 2024.
[10] James C, et al. The new Veblen effects: The consumption of education in the 21st century. British Journal of Sociology of Education. 2022; 43(1): 1-17.
[11] Sen A. Social mobility. In: Social Mobility in Developing Countries Concepts, Methods, and Determinants. Oxford University Press; 2021. p. 54-74.
[12] Haveman RH, Smeeding TM. The Role of Higher Education in Social Mobility. The Future of Children. 2006; 16(2): 125-50.
[13] Bunnell T. The exporting and franchising of elite English private schools: the emerging "second wave". Asia Pacific Journal of Education. 2008; 28(4): 383-93.
[14] Public schools face charity test. BBC News. 2004 Dec 21 [cited 2008 Feb 26]. Available from: http: //news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/uk_news/education/4115301.stm
[15] Mainard, D. As cited in: Bunnell T. The exporting and franchising of elite English private schools: the emerging "second wave". Asia Pacific Journal of Education. 2008; 28(4): 383-93.
[16] Boone, A., & Gorst, I. As cited in: Bunnell T. The exporting and franchising of elite English private schools: the emerging "second wave". Asia Pacific Journal of Education. 2008; 28(4): 383-93.
[17] Simon J, Ainsworth JW. Race and Socioeconomic Status Differences in Study Abroad Participation: The Role of Habitus, Social Networks, and Cultural Capital. ISRN Education. 2012; 2012: 1-21.