

The Impact of Digital Marketing Model Transformation on the Connotation-Based Development of Brand Image

Kaiwen Zuo

*Northeastern University, Boston, USA
mgkevin16@gmail.com*

Abstract. Digital marketing has shifted from campaign-centric persuasion to data-driven, interactive, and omnichannel systems, reshaping how brand meaning forms and endures. Synthesizing research on customer-based brand equity, brand experience, authenticity, and causal measurement, this paper examines whether digital transformation enhances the connotation-based development of brand image, defined as endogenous, self-reinforcing meaning rooted in coherent identity, lived experiences, and community participation. This paper argues that value co-creation platforms, transparent, consented personalization, consistent omnichannel journeys deepen intrinsic meaning by strengthening associations, perceived warmth, and competence, and attachment. However, three hazards erode "inner beauty": the personalization–privacy paradox and opaque data practices; contextual risks such as misinformation adjacency, deepfakes, and influencer fraud; and measurement myopia that favors short-term optimization over memory structures and trust. This paper proposes a managerial agenda: operationalize connotative goals via a scorecard linking brand knowledge, experience quality, and long-horizon outcomes; design value-for-data personalization; invest in governed communities and co-creation; enforce suitability and creator-integrity controls; and pair randomized experiments with marketing-mix modeling to rebalance portfolios. Properly governed, digital marketing shifts growth from exogenous exposure to endogenous, meaning-driven development.

Keywords: Digital Marketing Transformation, Connotation-Based Brand Image Development, Omnichannel Experience Design, Value Co-Creation Communities, Personalization-Privacy Paradox

1. Introduction

Over the past decade, digital marketing has evolved from one-way, campaign-centric persuasion to data-driven, interactive, omnichannel, and community-based systems. This shift coincides with customer journeys that are nonlinear, fragmented, and social: people move across communities, influencers, websites, apps, stores, and search, co-creating, amplifying, and responding along the way. Managing brand meaning therefore depends less on isolated media flights and more on orchestrating experiences across touchpoints and time. Prior work shows that consumers interact with firms via myriad touch points in multiple channels and that an effective omnichannel strategy requires seamless integration rather than siloed execution [1,2].

A large body of research clarifies how such orchestrated systems could deepen a brand's intrinsic meaning. Customer-Based Brand Equity (CBBE) roots "inner meaning" in associations stored in memory that shape responses to marketing, while brand identity provides a blueprint for coherence across product, organization, person, and symbol [3]. Brand experience research specifies the sensory, affective, intellectual, and behavioral dimensions through which meaning is felt and sustained. Complementing these demand-side lenses, authenticity scholarship shows that being true to claimed values underpins trust and durable attachment. When digital is used to coordinate journeys and invite participation, brands can build endogenous, self-reinforcing meaning, which this paper terms connotative development.

The personalization–privacy paradox demonstrates that relevance achieved through opaque data collection heightens perceived vulnerability and backlash unless trust is actively built [4]. Unsafe adjacencies, for example, appearing near misinformation depresses favorability by undermining perceptions of a brand's intentions. Even in data-rich settings, measurement myopia persists: large-scale experiments reveal that non-experimental attribution often misestimates causal lift, encouraging short-term optimization at the expense of memory structures and identity coherence [5]. What is missing is an integrated account that connects these digital model shifts to connotative development as a unified outcome.

Accordingly, this essay investigates whether digital transformation enhances or erodes the connotation-based development of brand image--defined as a shift from exogenous, surface-level growth toward endogenous, self-reinforcing meaning produced by consistent identity, lived experiences, and community participation. The guiding question is simple: Does digital make brands more attractive over time and more "beautiful on the inside," or does it weaken those qualities? It takes the position that digital marketing promotes connotative development when it enables value co-creation, transparent personalization, and coherent omnichannel experiences, and harms it when it fosters surveillance-style data use, unsafe adjacencies, and short-term optimization that neglects memory, meaning, and trust.

2. Digital marketing

2.1. From persuasion to co-creation

Service-Dominant Logic (S-D Logic) reframes markets as systems in which value is co-created through ongoing interactions rather than delivered unilaterally through firm outputs [6]. In this view, brands become interactional platforms that enable and guide value-creating practices among multiple actors, namely firms, customers, communities, and complementors. Digital technologies, persistent connectivity, data feedback loops, and participatory interfaces make these interactions continuous, measurable, and designable at scale. This reasoning is well-established in marketing theory and later information systems research that designs digital co-creation networks using S-D Logic as a lens. By involving people in design, storytelling, and world-building, companies that implement a co-creation regime enhance brand meaning's connotative layers and improve identification. The LEGO Ideas platform exemplifies this shift: fans submit concepts, gather votes, and see successful designs reviewed for production, institutionalizing community authorship in the brand's product pipeline and reinforcing belonging and loyalty [7]. Patagonia offers a values-driven variant of co-creation in which "activism" becomes an ongoing participatory practice. Independent case material and executive interviews highlight Patagonia's long sustainability arc, e.g., 1% for the Planet, an earth tax, and governance choices that direct profits to environmental causes [8].

2.2. From mass messaging to personalized journeys

The migration from siloed, campaign-centric communication to omnichannel journey design improves experience quality by coordinating touchpoints before, during, and after purchase. While brand-experience research demonstrates that the sensory, affective, cognitive, and behavioral aspects of those interactions impact downstream loyalty, omnichannel research advocates for integration across physical and digital interfaces [1,9]. All of these studies suggest that by providing dependable, superior experiences over time, well-designed journeys should enhance the natural development of brand meaning. Crucially, personalization needs to be transparent and consent-based. According to Aguirre et al., the personalization-privacy conundrum shows that whereas relevance might increase engagement, hidden or opaque data practices increase perceptions of vulnerability and erode confidence [4]. Transparent first-party data practices and obviously beneficial recommendations help on both fronts. Consumers rate brands primarily on perceived competence, the brand's ability to service, warmth and benevolent intentions. The mechanism is demonstrated using industry cases. Often referred to as a model omnichannel consumer experience that encourages repeat business, Sephora's Beauty Insider and app combine online and in-store data to fuel recommendations, community content, and benefits [10]. Starbucks Rewards integrates ordering, payments, and offers in a single mobile flow and analyses of the program emphasize its role in habitual usage and brand stickiness, as well as the financial advantages of preloaded balances [11].

2.3. From campaigns to communities & advocacy

A third characteristic shift is from campaign bursts to community logics of meaning-making. Beyond individual transactions, brand meanings are stabilized and enhanced by shared consciousness, rituals, and a feeling of moral obligation, according to brand-community literature. Concurrently, studies on electronic word-of-mouth (eWOM) show that peer discourse and user reviews have a significant impact on sales and brand outcomes, with negative valence frequently having a disproportionately large impact. This suggests that community talk is causally effective rather than epiphenomenal [12]. Through the creation of social artifacts from personal usage data, digital platforms allow marketers to build for advocacy. Industry news details Spotify Wrapped's enormous social spread, an annual, opt-in, highly shareable personalization that transforms private usage data into identity tales that users proudly broadcast, strengthening community bonds and earned reach [13]. Instead of only targeting, this digital tool is used for experience orchestration and co-creation. Its ten-year history and FOMO-inducing characteristics are documented in current trade news [14]. When viewed as genuine, UGC and creator endorsements influence attitudes and intentions, according to complementary meta-analytic and influencer-credibility research. This emphasizes the significance of relationship quality rather than just communication frequency.

2.4. From channel silos to omnichannel consistency

Consistency, or the alignment of meanings across time and touchpoints, is also essential to connotative development. In order to improve shoppers' journey through search, assessment, purchase, and post-purchase, omnichannel research stresses the design of channels as a coordinated system rather than as separate delivery pipes [1]. The brand-experience literature formalizes how multi-dimensional experiences (sensory, affective, intellectual, behavioral) shape satisfaction, loyalty, and word-of-mouth [9]. When firms architect consistent experiences across channels, they

reinforce the schemas and associations that constitute a brand's "inner beauty," enabling cumulative, endogenous growth in equity.

2.5. From gut feel to experimentation

Finally, digital infrastructures allow firms to replace intuition-driven execution with experimentation, randomized controlled trials (RCTs), holdouts, and geo-tests that identify causal lift in brand and behavioral outcomes [15]. Large-scale field experiments on major platforms show why non-experimental attribution often misestimates effects and why RCTs are the gold standard for learning what actually builds outcomes of interest. More recent work that compares experimental and non-experimental methods across hundreds of lift studies concludes that even with rich data, observational approaches struggle to recover true causal impact reliably, underscoring the managerial value of disciplined testing.

3. Obstacles

Digital marketing can enhance a brand's inner beauty and long-term appeal, but it can also impede connotative development if done in a way that transgresses privacy laws, compromises authenticity, exposes the brand to risky situations, or prioritizes immediate results over long-term significance. The research supporting these risks is summarized in the ensuing subsections, which also describe how they weaken long-lasting attachment and intrinsic brand meaning.

3.1. The personalization-privacy paradox

Consumers reject surveillance yet prefer relevance, according to a consistent body of empirical research. According to research on the personalization-privacy dilemma, even when the message is well-targeted, covert social media scraping increases perceived vulnerability and decreases response, whereas overt, transparent data collecting tends to boost ad effectiveness [4]. Put another way, if the methods of data collection are unclear, the same personalization that boosts utility may also incite feelings of exploitation, undermining trust and the perceived warmth of the brand's intentions. Boundary circumstances like explicit agreement, clear value-for-data propositions, and trust cues reduce the dilemma, while ambiguous or manipulative practices enhance it, according to studies based on social-exchange and information-systems perspectives [16]. The consequence for connotative development is simple: permission and transparency are necessary to maintain the moral hue of the brand's meaning, not just to check compliance boxes.

3.2. Erosion of authenticity and purpose-washing

Brand authenticity is not something that is simply stated; rather, it is a notion that is earned via consistent actions over time. According to reviews of the authenticity literature, audiences penalize instrumental purpose signals that are disconnected from capability and action, and credibility, continuity, integrity, and symbolism only make sense when speech and actions are in harmony [17]. Incidents damage the very inner beauty that connotative development aims to foster by undermining the deeper schema through which consumers assign values and motivations to brands, in addition to causing temporary backlash.

3.3. Brand safety, misinformation adjacency, and deepfakes

Ads purchased programmatically or placed in user-generated environments often appear in contexts whose quality is volatile. A growing body of experiments and industry analyses shows that adjacency to misinformation or low-quality content depresses brand favorability, wastes spend, and weakens perceived integrity. These effects arise even when the creative itself is unchanged, indicating a contextual spillover rather than a message problem.

In response, industry discourse has shifted from "brand safety" to "brand suitability," emphasizing alignment between content contexts and brand values [18]. This reframing clarifies governance choices but does not remove the core risk, which is reputational: contaminated contexts transfer negative affect into brand meaning. Suitability therefore functions as a preventive control, yet its efficacy ultimately depends on consistent inventory curation and rapid mitigation when breaches occur.

A newer threat compounds these contextual hazards: synthetic media. Deepfakes increase impersonation and deception risks, and emerging evidence suggests that AI-generated personas and manipulated audio/video can degrade attitudes and trust when audiences perceive misrepresentation or when spoofing goes unremediated [19]. The connotative harm mechanism is thus affective and moral: unsafe adjacency and artificial deception cast doubt on a brand's intentions and reliability, undermining warmth, competence, and authenticity.

3.4. Influencer fraud and synthetic engagement

When collaborations are genuine and relational, influencer ecosystems can enhance the meaning of a brand; but they are also riddled with bot traffic, bought likes, and phony followers. Common manifestations include purchased follower stock from "follow farms," automated engagement, engagement pods that coordinate reciprocal reactions to mimic organic buzz, and giveaway campaigns that attract prize-seeking but demographically misaligned audiences. Antifraud practitioners record the mechanisms by which vanity metrics are manipulated to simulate real communities. Paying for illusion has two connotative costs for brands: it misinterprets the audience, resulting in creative and community decisions that fall flat, and it damages credibility when exposure does not result in real engagement or when manipulation is revealed. Protecting the brand's core meaning in creative relationships so requires rigorous audience-quality audits, contractual morality and transparency clauses, and longitudinal engagement quality rather than just volume.

3.5. Measurement myopia and the drift to short-termism

Over-reliance on observational attribution fosters measurement myopia: firms optimize to biased, high-noise metrics, shifting spend toward tactics that look efficient in dashboards but do not create incremental value, thereby crowding out the slow accrual of memory structures, associations, and trust that underwrite connotative development. Observational methods frequently misestimate causal lift, and large field comparisons of randomized holdouts versus conventional attribution reveal sizable gaps in inferred returns. Classic evidence shows the median ROI confidence interval exceeding 100 percentage points, wide enough to produce false precision and misallocation [5]. In this environment, short-term clicks are over-rewarded while meaning-building activities are underfunded, flattening long-term allure. The remedy is to make causality and horizon explicit: pair randomized controlled trials and geo-experiments for near-term incrementality with marketing-mix modeling that includes brand variables and long-lag effects. Use these causal estimates to reweight

optimization targets so creative and media are judged not only on immediate conversions, but also on movement in brand meaning and equity, preventing short-term metrics from eroding connotative development [5].

4. Recommendations

4.1. Define "connotative" goals and metrics

From a marketing perspective, managing connotative development means making the consumer experience the unit of analysis and measuring how well the brand's promises are felt across the journey. Translate the brand's "inner beauty" including coherent values, authenticity, perceived warmth and competence, and its "long-term allure" into a scorecard that ties experience to outcomes. Anchor meaning in CBBE, the differential effect of brand knowledge on consumer response, and track movement up the resonance ladder as identity clarity, associations, responses, and relationships deepen [3]. Operationally, monitor experience quality using the Brakus–Schmitt–Zarantonello scale, sensory, affective, intellectual, and behavioral, because improvements on these dimensions are reliable precursors of loyalty and advocacy [9]. Link these experience indicators to behavioral metrics marketers manage day-to-day: repeat purchase, active users, cohort retention, share of wallet, referral rate, and price realization. In parallel, track perceived intentions and ability and an authenticity index with credibility, continuity, integrity, symbolism to verify that executions are strengthening, not diluting, intrinsic brand character. For reporting, combine: a meaning index with CBBE and resonance; an experience index with four experience dimensions; trust/character indicators and long-horizon outcomes. Review these at a fixed cadence and use them as optimization targets for creative, media, and journey design. In short, market the experience to measure: by specifying what "inner beauty" looks like in the consumer's lived journey and tying it to financial persistence, the scorecard keeps connotative development central to marketing decisions [3,9].

4.2. Practice transparent, value-for-data personalization

Personalization should be built on first-party, consented data, with plain-language explanations of what is collected, why, and what value the customer receives (utility, savings, control). Causal evidence on the personalization–privacy paradox shows that when collection feels covert, vulnerability rises and effectiveness falls; conversely, transparency and clear value propositions offset perceived intrusiveness and sustain response. Treat consent UX, preference centers, and frequency controls as relationship design not mere compliance.

4.3. Invest in community and co-creation programs

With the help of UGC platforms, beta groups, fan competitions, and contributor recognition, such as LEGO-style routes from idea to review to launch, brands should shift from episodic marketing to structured engagement. Classic and contemporary community research shows how shared consciousness, rituals, and moral responsibility consolidate meanings and extend them socially; well-designed co-creation systems convert customers into meaning makers, not just message receivers. Moderation, contributor governance, and feedback loops are critical to preserve norms and identity, ensuring contributions enrich rather than fragment the brand narrative.

4.4. Strengthen brand safety and integrity

Advertisers should utilize suitability-first buying, which includes curated allow-lists, semantic and contextual screening, and the explicit rejection of hazardous categories and misleading information, because meanings are context-sensitive. Appropriateness regulates a portion of brand meaning management rather than a specific risk function since proximity to false information damages brand perception and wastes money. By applying morality clauses and transparency rules, verifying audience quality, e.g., fraud checks for bots and followers, and keeping an eye on the quality of long-term interaction rather than vanity volumes, it may extend integrity throughout the creator ecosystem. These actions uphold the brand's warmth, credibility, and moral coloration while maintaining the advocacy signal value.

4.5. Balance long-term equity and short-term performance

To prevent metric myopia, pair randomized controlled experiments (user- or geo-based holdouts) for near-term incrementality with marketing-mix modeling that includes brand variables and long-lag effects. Large field experiments show that observational attribution often misestimates causal lift, steering spend toward tactics that look efficient but don't build durable value; disciplined experimentation and MMM re-weight portfolios toward activities that strengthen associations, experience, and trust. Creative and media should therefore be judged not only on ROAS, but on meaning-lift and equity-lift alongside incremental conversions.

4.6. Codify authentic purpose

Finally, ensure any espoused purpose is operationalized through governance, incentives, and product-service design. Patagonia's 2022 ownership redesign, placing voting control in the Patagonia Purpose Trust and channeling non-voting profits to the Holdfast Collective, illustrates how firms can structurally align decision rights and cash flows with a stated mission, and reduce the temptation to trade long-term meaning for short-term optics [20]. Purpose that is embedded (not merely signaled) strengthens authenticity and sustains connotative development by making values actionable and durable.

5. Conclusion

This paper examined whether transformations in digital marketing models enhance or erode the connotation-based development of brand image. On balance, the evidence indicates enhancement when firms leverage digital to co-create value, design transparent, consented personalization, and sustain coherent experiences across touchpoints. Under these conditions, brands deepen endogenous meaning: associations become stronger and more distinctive, authenticity is demonstrated through consistent acts, and perceived warmth and competence reinforce long-horizon attachment and advocacy. At the same time, the same capabilities can damage a brand's "inner beauty" and "long-term allure" when execution violates privacy expectations, performs purpose without substance, tolerates unsafe or misleading contexts, or optimizes to biased, short-term metrics. These hazards clarify that the digital question is not technological but managerial: how firms govern data, communities, creative integrity, and measurement determines whether meaning compounds or decays. Accordingly, the recommended agenda is to operationalize connotative goals via a concise scorecard, practice value-for-data transparency, invest in structured co-creation and community governance, institute suitability and influencer-integrity controls, pair experiments with long-

horizon modeling, and embed purpose in incentives and structures. Limitations include category heterogeneity, platform volatility, and the difficulty of isolating long-lag effects; future research should pursue longitudinal and cross-cultural designs that link connotative KPI movement to financial outcomes and test privacy and synthetic-media interventions experimentally. Properly governed, digital marketing shifts growth from exogenous exposure to endogenous, meaning-driven development, promoting both inner beauty and durable allure.

References

- [1] Verhoef, P. C., Kannan, P. K., & Inman, J. J. (2015). From multi-channel retailing to omni-channel retailing: Introduction to the special issue on multi-channel retailing. *Journal of Retailing*, 91(2), 174–181.
- [2] Solem, B. A. A., Fredriksen, J. I., & Sørensen, Ø. (2022). Dynamic capabilities in the realisation of omnichannel retailing. *International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management*, 51(1), 21–38.
- [3] Keller, K. L. (2001). Building customer-based brand equity: A blueprint for creating strong brands. *Marketing Management*, 10(2), 15–19.
- [4] Aguirre, E., Mahr, D., Grewal, D., de Ruyter, K., & Wetzels, M. (2015). Unraveling the personalization paradox: The effect of information collection and trust-building strategies on online advertisement effectiveness. *Journal of Retailing*, 91(1), 34–49.
- [5] Lewis, R. A., & Rao, J. M. (2015). The unfavorable economics of measuring the returns to advertising. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 130(4), 1941–1973.
- [6] Miles, C. (2023). *The marketing of service-dominant logic*. Springer.
- [7] Antorini, Y. M., Muñoz Jr, A. M., & Askildsen, T. (2012). Collaborating with customer communities: Lessons from the LEGO Group. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 53(3), 73.
- [8] McKinsey & Company. (2023). Patagonia shows how turning a profit doesn't have to cost the Earth. Retrieved August 27 from <https://www.mckinsey.com/industries/agriculture/our-insights/patagonia-shows-how-turning-a-profit-doesnt-have-to-cost-the-earth>
- [9] Brakus, J. J., Schmitt, B. H., & Zarantonello, L. (2009). Brand experience: What is it? How is it measured? Does it affect loyalty? *Journal of Marketing*, 73(3), 52–68.
- [10] NGrow. (2024). Case study: Sephora's omnichannel approach to enhance customer loyalty. Retrieved August 28 from <https://www.ngrow.ai/blog/case-study-sephoras-omnichannel-approach-to-enhance-customer-loyalty>
- [11] Beans. (2024). Starbucks loyalty program case study. Retrieved August 20 from <https://www.trybeans.com/blog/starbucks-loyalty-program-analysis>
- [12] Babić Rosario, A., Sotgiu, F., De Valck, K., & Bijmolt, T. H. A. (2016). The effect of electronic word of mouth on sales: A meta-analytic review of platform, product, and metric factors. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 53(3), 297–318.
- [13] Murray, C. (2023). Spotify Wrapped 2023 Comes Soon: Here's How It Became A Viral and Widely Copied Marketing Tactic. *Forbes*.
- [14] Carroll, N. (2024). Driving 'FOMO': Spotify on 10 years of Wrapped. *Marketing Week*. Retrieved August 20 from <https://www.marketingweek.com/fomo-spotify-10-years-wrapped/>
- [15] Gordon, B. R., Moakler, R., & Zettelmeyer, F. (2023). Close enough? A large-scale exploration of non-experimental approaches to advertising measurement. *Marketing Science*, 42(4), 768–793.
- [16] Cloarec, J., Meyer-Waarden, L., & Munzel, A. (2022). The personalization–privacy paradox at the nexus of social exchange and construal level theories. *Psychology & Marketing*, 39(3), 647–661.
- [17] Södergren, J. (2021). Brand authenticity: 25 years of research. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 45(4), 645–663.
- [18] Griffin, R. (2023). From brand safety to suitability: Advertisers in platform governance. *Internet Policy Review*, 12(3).
- [19] Hynek, N., Gavurova, B., & Kubak, M. (2025). Risks and benefits of artificial intelligence deepfakes: Systematic review and comparison of public attitudes in seven European countries. *Journal of Innovation & Knowledge*, 10(5), 100782.
- [20] Chouinard, Y. (2022). Earth is now our only shareholder. *patagonia.com*.